

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

This Interview Summary is further to the telephone Interview between Julie A. Haut, Attorney Sandy Doll, Dr. Kenneth Ruchala, and Examiner Kish on July 8, 2009. During the Interview, applicant discussed independent Claim 27 and the prior art Miller and Frohlich references. No particular agreement was reached with respect to the claims.

The Examiner indicated in his Interview Summary that "a 2D image is adequate for dose calculations, as stated by Dr. Ruchala. Therefore, any image is adequate for 'dose calculations.'" Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's characterization of the discussion with respect to the types of images that are adequate for dose calculations. Certainly, any image is not adequate for dose calculations. As previously stated by the Applicant, it is known in the art that two-dimensional x-ray images do not include information, such as volumetric anatomical information, necessary for a volumetric dosimetric calculation as the term is understood in the art. Applicant indicated that it could clarify that "dose calculations" as used in the claims refers to 3D dose calculations.